1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 JAMES R. JOHNSON, Case No. 2:18-cv-08534-JLS (GJS) 11 Petitioner 12 v. D RECOMMENDATIONS OF HTED STATES MAGISTRATE 13 JOHN LEMASTER, Acting Warden, JUDGE Respondent. 14 15 16 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all 17 pleadings and other documents filed in this action, the Report and Recommendation 18 of United States Magistrate Judge [Dkt. 11, "Report"], and Petitioner's Objection 19 [Dkt. 16] and Supplemental Objection [Dkt. 18] to the Report. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the Court has conducted a de novo 20 21 review of those portions of the Report to which objections have been stated. 22 Having completed its review, the Court accepts the findings and 23 recommendations set forth in the Report. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is dismissed without leave to amend and without prejudice, for lack of 24 jurisdiction. 25 26 27 DATE: August 15, 2019 28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE